On the dynamics of loop quantum Universe

Tomasz Pawłowski

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław

Jurekfest Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, 16-20.09.2019



Introduction Jurek's involvement

Principles/properties of LQG

• Main features:

- Manifest background independence of fudamental components.
- Quantization og GR.
- · Geometry data revovered thorugh quantum observables.
- Quantization of extended objects (holonomies and fluxes).
- Systematic application of Dirac program for constraint systems.
- Quantization program completed via coupling to matter (matter clocks, explicit action of the Hamiltonian)
- Limitations:
 - Enormous technical complication
 - No physically plausible genuine dynamical predictions yet.



Loop Quantum Cosmology

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{LQC}}$ - application of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{LQG}}$ methods and components to simpler, highly symmetric scenarios

- (Early) History
 - First idea: Bojowald, ${\sim}2000$
 - Mathematical structure: Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski, 2003
 - Genuine quantum dynamics: Ashtekar, TP, Singh, 2006
 - Hybrid quantization of inhomogeneous models: Garaym Martín-Benito, Mena-Marugán, 2009
 - Perturbations and CMB imprint: Agulo, Ashtekar, Nelson, ..., 2012
- Main achievements
 - Control over (genuine) quantum dynamics for homogeneous models
 - Cornucopia of results using (phenomenological) classical effective approach
 - Evolution of perturbations via semiclassical/dressed metric



Jurek's involvement in LQC (very incomplete list)

• Mathematical structure (kinematics) of LQC.

- Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski, 2003, "Mathematical structure of loop quantum cosmology".
- Kamiński, Lewandowski, Szulc, 2006, "Closed FRW model in LQC"

Selfadjointness of evolution generators.

• Kamiński, Lewandowski, 2007 "The Flat FRW model in LQC: The Self-adjointness".

• The role of time and the notion of evolution.

• Kamiński, Lewandowski, TP, 2009, "Quantum constraints, Dirac observables and evolution: Group averaging versus Schrodinger picture in LQC".

• First studies of elements of field theory on LQC background.

 Ashtekar, Kamiński, Lewandowski, 2009, "Quantum field theory on a cosmological, quantum space-time".



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Model and Dirac quantization program

- Fix a model: FRW flat universe with massless scalar field.
- Variables: fluxes of triads and holonomies of Ashtekar connections \rightarrow functions of coeffcients ($v \propto V, b \propto H_r$).
- Action: Einstein-Hilbert + canonical 3 + 1 splitting. -> algebra of constraints
- Kinematical level quantization:
 - Hilbert space: $\mathcal{H}_{kin} = L^2(\bar{\mathbb{R}}, d\mu_v) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\phi)$, basis of eigen. flux operator.
 - Basic operators: volume $\hat{V}|v\rangle = \alpha |v||v\rangle$ and U(1) components of holonomies $N_{\lambda}|v\rangle = |v + \lambda\rangle$.
- Rewriting the sole nontrivial constraint (Hamiltonian) in terms of basic operators – Thiemann regularization.
- Finding the kernel of the constaint by group averaging (spectral decomposition).

Tomasz Pawłowski (IFT-UWr)



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

The Hamiltonian constraint

• (Gravitational) Hamiltonian constraint splits into two parts:

- Euclidean: depending on the curvature of Ashtekar connection.
- Lorentzian: depending on extrinsic curvature.
- The Euclidean part is regularized via approximating the curvature by holonomies along square loop.
 - Area gap: "borrowing" the lowest eigenvalue of area operator from full LQG and setting loop area to it fixes $\lambda = 1/2$.
- The Lorentzian part can be regularized in several different ways: for the full theory two proposals.



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Thiemann regularization: Lorentzian part

• The Lorentzian part

$$\mathit{C}_{L}=-2(1+\gamma^{2})\int_{\mathcal{V}}\mathrm{d}^{3}x|\mathrm{det}\mathit{E}|^{-1/2}\mathit{E}_{i}^{a}\mathit{E}_{j}^{b}\mathit{K}_{[a}^{i}\mathit{K}_{b]}^{j}$$

• For Lorentzian part two schemes of regulatization

• Spatial Ricci scalar extraction (traditional for LQC):

• Express
$$K_a^i = \gamma^{-1} (A_a^i - \Gamma_a^i)$$

• In flat FRW only the first term ($\propto C_E$) contributes

$$C_g = -\gamma^{-2} C_E$$

• In full LQG spatial Ricci scalar quatized independently: Alesci, Assanioussi, Lewandowski 2014.

• The Full Thiemann regularization (original proposal for LQG):

 $\mathcal{K}_a^i \propto \lambda^{-1} \gamma^{-2} h_i^{(\lambda)} \{ (h_i^{(\lambda)})^{-1}, \{ \mathcal{C}_e, \mathcal{V} \} \}$

• Qualitatively different structure than that of C_E .



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Quantum Hamiltonian constraint

Ashtekat, TP, Singh, 2006

• Quantum Hamiltonian constraint built by replacing V and $h^{(\bar{\mu})}$ by operators.

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \hat{
ho}_{\phi}^2 - \hat{\Theta} \otimes \mathbb{I}$$

where $\hat{\Theta}$ is a regular difference operator

- - Standard LQC: 2nd order operator

$$\hat{\Theta} = -f_+(v)N^4 + f_o(v)\mathbb{I} - f_-(v)N^{-4}$$

• Thiemann reg. LQC: 4th order operator

$$\hat{\Theta} = -g_{+}(v)N^{8}\gamma^{2}f_{+}(v)N^{4} + g_{o}(v)\mathbb{I} + \gamma^{2}f_{-}(v)N^{-4} - g_{-}(v)N^{-8}$$

- In large v limit $f_{\pm,o}, g_{\pm,o} \propto v^2$.
- Separable superselection sectors of functions supported on (semi)lattices in v.

Tomasz Pawłowski (IFT-UWr)



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Physical states

- Generaized eigenfunctions found numerically via solving the difference equation (in *v* or differential one in *b*.
- Unitary evolution generation: identification of deficiency space (eigenspaces of purely imainary eigenvalues)
- Possible to preform spectral decomposition of $\hat{\Theta}$ explicitly. Physical states

$$\Psi(v,\phi) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k \tilde{\Psi}(k) e_k(v) e^{i\omega(k)\phi}, \; \omega(k) = \sqrt{12\pi G}k$$

Schroedinger like evolution equation

$$-i\partial_{\phi}\Psi(v,\phi)=\sqrt{|\hat{\Theta}|}\Psi(v,\phi)$$



Observables

The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

 Physical observables constructed out of gravitational (kinematical ones)

$$[\hat{O}_{\phi}]\Psi(v,\phi')=e^{i\sqrt{|\hat{\Theta}|}(\phi'-\phi)}\hat{O}_{\mathrm{gr}}\Psi(v,\phi)$$

- Standard selections for $\hat{O}_{
 m gr}$:
 - Volume: $\hat{V} = 2\pi\gamma\sqrt{\Delta}\ell_{\rm Pl}^2|v|.$
 - Compactified volume: $\hat{\theta}_{K} = \arctan(|v|/K)$.
 - Gravitational energy density: $\hat{
 ho}_{
 m gr} = -1/2 \hat{V}^{-1} \hat{\Theta} \hat{V}^{-1}.$
 - Hubble parameter: $H = i/6\hat{V}^{-1/2}[\hat{V}, \hat{V}^{-1/2}\hat{\Theta}\hat{V}^{-1/2}]\hat{V}^{-1/2}$



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Dynamics in standard LQC

- $\hat{\Theta}$ selfadjoint unique unitary evolution.
- Two epochs of large (classical) universe connected by a quantum bounce
- Bounce determined by a critical energy density $ho_{
 m cr} pprox 0.42
 ho_{
 m Pl}.$
- Semiclassicality preservation between epochs enforced by strong triangle inequalities on variations (Kamiński, TP,2010). Further semiclassicality results: Corichi, Singh, 2007, Corichi, Montoya



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Standard LQC state evolution



Tomasz Pawłowski (IFT-UWr)



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Thiemann-regularized model

Yang, Ding, Ma, 2009 Assanioussi, Dapor, Liegener, TP, 2018

- Evolution operator 4th order but admitting a U(1) family of selfadjoint extensions, each corresponding to a 2nd order system.
- State approaches from $v = \infty$ where its evolution mimicks that of GR.
- at Planckian energy densityit bounces, entering rapid expansion similar in nature to that of deSitter universe in LQC with cosmological constant of Planckian order,
- the state reaches infinite volume at finite ϕ , then undergoes transition through scri (guided by selfadjoint extension), then starts to recollapse
- it bounces for the second time at Planckian energy density, then quickly approaches GR trajectory of expanding universe.



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Gaussian energy state



Rysunek: Gaussian wave packet $|v|^{1/2}|\Psi(v,\phi)|$.

Tomasz Pawłowski (IFT-UWr)



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Quantum trajectory



Rysunek: Observable $\theta_K(v)$ for $K = 5 \cdot 10^3$.

Tomasz Pawłowski (IFT-UWr)



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Quantum trajectory



Rysunek: Matter energy density.

Tomasz Pawłowski (IFT-UWr)



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

Quantum trajectory



Rysunek: Hubble rate.

Tomasz Pawłowski (IFT-UWr)



The LQC quantization program The regularization choices The dynamics

The conformal infinity transition

A peculiar point ot transition through infinite volume

- Well defined extension through future/past SCRI.
- Deterministic evolution of conformally invariant DOF.
- Allows for (almost) direct analog of Penrose CCC idea.
- Due to two infinities joining better control over transition.



The consequences of the evolution picture

- After implementing the Thiemann regularization scheme for the Lorentzian part of the Hamiltonian constraint the evolution of an FRW universe gets significantly enriched:
 - now between large semiclasscial branches it features two deSitter epochs with transitions through scri,
 - for most of the deSitter phase the Hubble horizon is of Planckian order, which can give significant effect on the structure of perturbations.
- Disturbing consequence:
 - Changing a detail (proposal of a regularization prescription) of a quantization procedure leads to significantly different dynamical prediction for the considered physical system: Burden or opportunity?

Thank you for your attention!

Part of presented research was supported by National Center for Science (NCN), Poland under grant no. 2012/05/E/ST2/03308.